

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed Edition :

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

## **EDITORIAL TEAM**

### **EDITORS**



### **Megha Middha**

*Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar*

*Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society*

### **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



## Dr. Namita Jain



*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*

*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*

## Mrs.S.Kalpana

*Assistant professor of Law*

*Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*



## Avinash Kumar



*learning.*

*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and*

## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **QUANTUM COMPUTING TECHNOLOGY AND THE VISUALIZATION OF FUTURE LAW**

AUTHORED BY - ATHUL A T

## **Abstract**

Quantum technology is rapidly evolving from theoretical concepts to commercial reality, with the potential to revolutionize many aspects of contemporary society, including cyberspace and legal practice. This research paper aims to contribute to the growing body of literature on the ethics, legal challenges, and opportunities of quantum technology, focusing on its impact on the judicial system, legal framework, intellectual property rights, regulatory response, and artificial intelligence.

The paper begins by identifying the critical potential problems and solutions associated with the development and commercialization of quantum technology. It then focuses on the specific impact of quantum computing on data protection, privacy, cryptography, and security. It argues that lawmakers have an essential role in reducing the effects of quantum computing on these areas by investing in research on quantum-resistant cryptography, updating existing laws and regulations, and working with other governments to develop international standards.

The article concludes by discussing the broader ethical and legal implications of quantum technology and highlighting the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in addressing the complex challenges and opportunities posed by this emerging domain.

Keywords: quantum technology, legal framework, intellectual property rights, regulatory response, artificial intelligence, data protection, privacy, cryptography, security, ethics, law, judiciary

## Introduction

Quantum computing is a swiftly developing technology with the potential to change and revolutionize various aspects of our lives, including the law. Quantum computers are excellent at performing specific calculations exponentially faster than classical computers, which could lead to breakthroughs in areas such as cryptography, artificial intelligence (AI) and drug discovery<sup>1</sup>. However, the power of quantum computing also poses significant challenges, including the potential for quantum attacks on cybersecurity and data privacy. For example, quantum computers could be used to break current encryption algorithms that currently protect our online data and communications. This is why it is essential to create a legal framework to regulate quantum computing technologies. A well-crafted legal framework can help protect innovators and consumers and mitigate the risks posed by quantum computing.

There are several positive strategies that attorneys and policymakers can implement when developing a regulatory framework for quantum computing technologies. It is essential to engage with various stakeholders, including quantum computing researchers, developers, and companies, as well as consumers and privacy advocates. This engagement ensures that the regulatory framework is informed by the perspectives of all affected parties. The regulatory framework should be malleable enough to adapt to the rapidly changing nature of quantum computing technology. This could involve developing a principles-based approach rather than a rules-based approach. The legislator should focus on crucial areas of concern, such as cybersecurity, privacy, and intellectual property (IP). This will help to ensure that the regulatory framework is effective and efficient.

A number of government agencies and legal institutions are taking proactive measures to regulate quantum computing technologies. For example, the United States government has established the National Quantum Initiative<sup>2</sup>, which is a multi-agency effort to accelerate quantum computing research and development. The European Union has also adopted a number of initiatives to promote quantum computing, including the Quantum Technologies Flagship<sup>3</sup>. In addition, a number of legal institutions are developing resources to help lawyers and policymakers

---

<sup>1</sup> Aithal, P. S. (2023). Advances and New Research Opportunities in Quantum Computing Technology by Integrating it with Other ICCT Underlying Technologies. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 7(3),314-358. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8326506>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.quantum.gov/>

<sup>3</sup> Max F Riedel et al 2017 *Quantum Sci. Technol.* 2 030501

understand the legal and regulatory implications of quantum computing technologies. For example, the American Bar Association has created a Quantum Computing Task Force, and the International Bar Association has created a Quantum Computing Committee. Quantum computing is a powerful new technology with the potential to transform the law. However, it is essential to proactively develop a legal framework to regulate quantum computing technologies in order to protect both innovators and consumers and to mitigate the danger posed by quantum computing.

Imagine a world where quantum computers are used to solve some of the most pressing legal challenges facing society today. For example, quantum computers could be used to develop new ways to detect and prosecute crime, resolve disputes more efficiently, and create more just and equitable laws. This is the world that quantum computing could make possible. But it is only possible if we have a legal framework in place to ensure that quantum computing is used for good and not for harm. Attorneys and policymakers have a vital role to play in shaping the future of quantum computing and the law. By working together, we can develop a legal framework that promotes innovation, protects the public interest, and ensures that the benefits of quantum computing are shared equitably. Additionally, Quantum computing could also be used to develop new forms of artificial intelligence that are far more powerful and intelligent than anything we have today. This could have profound implications for the law, as AI systems become capable of performing tasks that are currently only possible by human lawyers.

## **What is Quantum Technology and Quantum Computing**

Quantum technology and quantum computing are two closely related but distinct fields. Quantum technology is a broader term that encompasses all technologies that rely on the principles of quantum mechanics<sup>4</sup>, while quantum computing is a specific type of quantum technology that uses qubits to perform calculations.

Quantum technology is an emerging field of physics and engineering that encompasses technologies that rely on the principles of quantum mechanics, especially quantum entanglement, quantum superposition, and quantum tunnelling. Quantum computing, sensors, cryptography, simulation, measurement, and imaging are all examples of emerging quantum technologies.

---

<sup>4</sup> B.M. Boghosian, W. Taylor IV/Physica D 120 (1998)

Quantum technologies are based on the principles of quantum mechanics, which is the study of the behaviour of matter at the atomic and subatomic levels<sup>5</sup>. At this level, matter behaves in ways that are very different from how it behaves at the macroscopic level. One of the vital principles of quantum mechanics is superposition. Superposition means that a quantum particle can be in multiple states at the same time. For example, an electron can be in a condition where it is both spinning up and spinning down at the same time. Another fundamental principle of quantum mechanics is entanglement. Entanglement means that two or more quantum particles can be linked together in such a way that they share the same fate. If you measure one particle, you instantly know the state of the other particle, even if they are separated by a significant distance.

Quantum computing is a new type of computing that utilizes the power of quantum mechanics to solve problems that are too sophisticated for classical computers. Classical computers use bits, which may be either 0 or 1. Quantum computers use qubits, which can be 0, 1, or both at the same time. This is called superposition. Another critical property of qubits is entanglement. This is when two or more qubits are linked together in such a way that they share the same fate. If you measure one qubit, you instantly know the state of the other qubits. Superposition and entanglement allow quantum computers to perform calculations that are impossible for classical computers. For example, a quantum computer could factor a large number into its prime factors much faster than a classical computer. This would have implications for cryptography, as many encryption algorithms rely on the difficulty of factoring large numbers. Quantum computers are still in their early stages of development, but they have the potential to revolutionize many industries, including medicine, materials science, and finance<sup>6</sup>.

## **Quantum and AI Hybrids: The Key to Solving the World's Most Challenging Problems**

Quantum computing and artificial intelligence (AI) are two of the most transformative technologies of our time. Quantum computer has the potential to revolutionize many industries, including medicine, materials science, and finance. AI is already having a significant impact on

---

<sup>5</sup> Kop, Mauritz, Establishing a Legal-Ethical Framework for Quantum Technology (March 2, 2021). Yale Law School, Yale Journal of Law & Technology (YJoLT), The Record, March 30 2021, <https://yjolt.org/blog/establishing-legal-ethical-framework-quantum-technology>, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3814422>

<sup>6</sup> Möller, M., Vuik, C. On the impact of quantum computing technology on future developments in high-performance scientific computing. *Ethics Inf Technol* **19**, 253–269 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-017-9438-0>

our lives, from the way we interact with our devices to the way we make decisions. Quantum computing and AI are two distinct technologies, but they have the potential to be used together to create even more powerful and innovative applications. For example, quantum computers could be used to train AI models that are not possible with current computing technology.

Quantum computing can improve AI in a number of ways, including: - Quantum AI algorithms that are more efficient than classical computer AI algorithms. This is because quantum computers can perform certain types of calculations, such as matrix multiplication<sup>7</sup>, much faster than classical computers. Quantum computers can be used to develop new AI models that are not possible with current computing technology. This is because quantum computers can leverage the unique properties of quantum mechanics, such as superposition and entanglement, to solve problems that are intractable for classical computers.

There are a number of challenges that need to be addressed before quantum computing can be used to improve AI on a large scale. One challenge is that quantum computers are still in their early stages of development. Another challenge is that quantum computers are very expensive to build and operate. Despite the challenges, there are also a number of opportunities for quantum computing to revolutionize AI. Researchers are already working on developing new quantum algorithms for AI tasks. In addition, a number of companies are developing quantum computers that are specifically designed for AI applications. It is still too early to say precisely how quantum computing will impact AI. However, it is clear that quantum computing has the potential to revolutionize AI.

Quantum and AI hybrids are a new class of systems that combine the power of quantum computing with the flexibility and adaptability of AI. These hybrids have the potential to solve problems that are intractable for either quantum computers or AI systems on their own. How do quantum and AI hybrids work? <sup>8</sup>Quantum and AI hybrids work by combining the strengths of both technologies. Quantum computers are very good at performing certain types of calculations, such as factoring large numbers and simulating quantum systems. AI systems are very good at learning from data and making predictions. By combining the strengths of quantum computers

---

<sup>7</sup> A. Zulehner and R. Wille, "Matrix-Vector vs. Matrix-Matrix Multiplication: Potential in DD-based Simulation of Quantum Computations," *2019 Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Exhibition (DATE)*, Florence, Italy, 2019, pp. 90-95, doi: 10.23919/DATE.2019.8714836.

<sup>8</sup> Abu Rayhan, Head of R&D, CBECL rayhan@cbecl.com 2Shahana Rayhan, Research Director, CBECL shahana@cbecl.com

and AI systems, quantum and AI hybrids can solve problems that are intractable for either technology on its own. For instance, a quantum and AI hybrid could be used to develop new drugs, design new materials, and create new financial algorithms<sup>9</sup>.

## Quantum AI and Society: The Ethical Challenges and Opportunities

Ethical algorithms<sup>10</sup> are those that are created and implemented in alignment with moral principles. This means that they should be fair, transparent, and responsible. Additionally, they should be used in a way that is not harmful to individuals or society. There are a number of ways to ensure that quantum AI algorithms are ethical. One approach is to use a human-in-the-loop design<sup>11</sup>. This means that humans are involved in the creation and development of the algorithm and that they can overturn the algorithm's judgments if necessary. Another approach is to use explainable AI. This means that the algorithm can communicate its decisions to humans, which can help to ensure its fairness and transparency. Finally, it is vital to use algorithms responsibly. This means that they should not be used to making decisions that could have a significant impact on people's lives without meticulous study.

Here are some specific instances of how to ensure that quantum AI algorithms are ethical. Develop ethical guidelines for the development and use of quantum AI. These guidelines should be developed by a wide range of stakeholders, including scientists, engineers, ethicists, and policymakers. Educate the public about the ethical concerns of quantum AI. This can be done through public awareness campaigns, educational programs, and media coverage. Invest in research on the ethical implications of quantum AI. This research should focus on developing new methods and tools for ensuring that AI is used ethically. Support the development of open-source quantum AI software. This will help to ensure that quantum AI is accessible to a wide range of people and organizations. Promote international cooperation on quantum AI ethics. This will help to ensure that ethical guidelines are developed and implemented in a consistent manner

---

<sup>9</sup> arXiv:2011.06492 [q-fin.CP] (or arXiv:2011.06492v1 [q-fin.CP] for this version) <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2011.06492>

<sup>10</sup> Martin, Kirsten, Designing Ethical Algorithms (June 1, 2019). MIS Quarterly Executive June 2019, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3056692> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3056692>

<sup>11</sup> **Fabio Massimo Zanzotto, Viewpoint: Human-in-the-loop Artificial intelligence (Feb 10, 2019)** <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.1.11345>

across the globe. By taking these steps, we can ensure that quantum AI is used for good and not for harm.

Quantum computers can be used to develop ethical algorithms in a number of ways. They can be utilized to identify and mitigate bias in algorithms. Quantum computing may be employed to create new methods for detecting and preventing discrimination in algorithms. This is important because bias can lead to unfair and discriminatory outcomes. Quantum computers could be used to develop new algorithms that are more accurate and fair at assessing the risk of recidivism, for example. This could help to reduce mass incarceration and racial disparities in the criminal justice system<sup>12</sup>. Quantum computers can assist in inventing new algorithms that are more equitable at predicting student success and matching job seekers with employers. This could aid in increasing diversity and inclusion in education and the workplace. Quantum computers could be operated to formulate new methods for measuring and evaluating the ethical impact of algorithms. This would enable us to sufficiently understand the possible consequences of using algorithms in different contexts.

While quantum computing has the potential to help us to generate more ethical algorithms, it also poses some moral challenges. These include Quantum computing, which could be used to develop new surveillance and social control technologies that could infringe on our privacy and civil liberties. Quantum computers can be employed to build autonomous weapons systems<sup>13</sup> that are not controlled by humans. This could pose a severe threat to global security. As quantum computers are able to automate tasks that are currently performed by humans, this could lead to job displacement in some sectors.

There are a number of things we can do to address the ethical challenges posed by quantum computing. These include making ethical guidelines for the development and use of quantum computing. These guidelines should be designed by a wide range of stakeholders, including scientists, engineers, ethicists, lawyers and policymakers. It is essential to educate the public about the ethical concerns of quantum computing so that we can have an informed discussion about its development and use. This can be done through public awareness campaigns,

---

<sup>12</sup> Andrea L. Miller, Chadly Stern and Helen A. Neville (Special Issue Editors). For a full listing of Special Issue papers, see: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/josi.2019.75.issue-4/issuetoc>.

<sup>13</sup> Donyae Johnson, Katie Kline, Marco Salvo (March 27, 2019) How Artificial Intelligence and Quantum Computing are Evolving Cyber Warfare, <https://www.iwp.edu/cyber-intelligence-initiative/2019/03/27/how-artificial-intelligence-and-quantum-computing-are-evolving-cyber-warfare/>

educational programs, and media coverage. We need to invest in research on the ethical implications of quantum computing to better understand the potential risks and benefits of this technology. This research should focus on developing new methods and tools for assuring that quantum computing is used ethically. We need to foster international cooperation on quantum AI ethics to ensure that ethical guidelines are developed and implemented in a uniform manner across the world.

Here are a few case studies of how quantum computing is being used to develop more ethical algorithms:

They are reducing bias in criminal justice risk assessment tools. Researchers at the University of California, Berkeley, are using quantum computers to develop new algorithms for assessing the risk of recidivism. These algorithms are designed to be less biased than traditional risk assessment tools, which can lead to discriminatory outcomes.

They are creating more equitable algorithms for college admissions. Researchers at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology are using quantum computers to develop new algorithms for predicting student success and achievement in college. These algorithms are designed to be more equitable than traditional algorithms, which can favor students from wealthy backgrounds. They are measuring the ethical impact of social media algorithms. Researchers at IBM are using quantum computers to develop new methods for measuring the ethical implications of social media algorithms<sup>14</sup>. These methods can be used to identify and mitigate potential danger.

## **Quantum Computing and Privacy Law - Data protection**

One of the biggest concerns is that Quantum computers can be used to surpass the encryption methods that are currently used to safeguard sensitive data. This could have profound implications for privacy law and data protection, which is designed to protect the personal information of individuals. Quantum computers have immense power to hack into databases containing sensitive personal information, such as biometrics, credit card numbers and social security numbers. This could lead to identity theft and other forms of fraud. Quantum computers could also be used to intercept and decrypt communications, such as emails and phone calls. This could violate the privacy of individuals and businesses equally. Another problem is that unique

---

<sup>14</sup> Alvarez-Rodriguez, U., Sanz, M., Lamata, L. *et al.* Quantum Artificial Life in an IBM Quantum Computer. *Sci Rep* **8**, 14793 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33125-3>

surveillance technologies that are more powerful than contemporary technologies can be formulated by quantum computers. Capable of processing facial recognition algorithms that can identify people from far distance or implementing algorithms that can track people's activities online. This could lead to increased government surveillance and make it easier for private companies to collect and use personal data without authorization.<sup>15</sup> For example, quantum computers could be used to develop facial recognition algorithms that can identify people from a distance or to create algorithms that can track people's movements online.

Quantum computing could impact privacy law, including:- Data protection laws, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)<sup>16</sup>, require organizations to protect the personal data of individuals. Quantum computing could make it more difficult for organizations to comply with these laws. For example, organizations may need to use new encryption algorithms to protect personal data from quantum attacks. Surveillance laws, such as the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA)<sup>17</sup>, allow the government to collect and monitor the communications of individuals. Quantum computing could make it easier for the government to conduct surveillance on individuals. For example, quantum computers could be used to break the encryption algorithms that are currently used to protect communications. Biometric privacy laws, such as the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act<sup>18</sup> (BIPA), protect the biometric data of individuals. Quantum computing could make it easier for organizations to collect and use biometric data without the consent of individuals.

What can policymakers and businesses do to prepare for the quantum computing era? Policymakers must develop new privacy laws and regulations to address the challenges posed by quantum computing. Policymakers could require organizations to use the latest quantum encryption key instead of classical encryption to protect personal data from quantum attacks and to obtain permission from individuals before collecting and using their biometric data. Companies should review their data security practices and implement unique security measures to protect personal data from quantum attacks. They could use new encryption algorithms to protect personal data and could implement multi-factor authentication for all users. People should be educated about the risks posed by quantum computing and should take steps to protect their

---

<sup>15</sup> Athul A t, *Dataveillance and Right to Privacy*, Justice Dipak Misra Call for Papers 2023 bearing, ISBN No. 978-81-947778-0-9

<sup>16</sup> <https://gdpr-info.eu/>

<sup>17</sup> <https://bja.ojp.gov/program/it/privacy-civil-liberties/authorities/statutes/1286>,

<sup>18</sup> <https://www.ilga.gov/senate/committees/default.asp>

privacy. For example, individuals should use strong passwords and multi-factor authentication for all online accounts. Individuals should also be careful about what information they share online.

## **Protecting Privacy in the Quantum Age: Challenges and Opportunities for India**

In India, privacy law is still in its early phases of maturation, and there needs to be precise legislation that addresses the challenges posed by quantum computing. However, there are a number of existing laws that could be used to protect the privacy of citizens from quantum attacks. Implementing legislation like the Information Technology Act of 2000 (IT Act) <sup>19</sup>prohibits the unauthorized access, usage, disclosure, modification, or destruction of computer data. The IT Act also requires organizations to take reasonable security measures to protect personal data. In addition, the Personal Data Protection Bill 2019 (PDP Bill) is currently pending before the Indian Parliament. The PDP Bill is a comprehensive piece of legislation that would provide individuals with greater control over their personal data. The PDP Bill also imposes a number of obligations on organizations that collect and use personal data.

One of the biggest challenges for the Indian legislature is to keep up with the rapid pace of innovation in quantum computing. It is vital to develop new laws and regulations that can effectively protect privacy in the quantum computing era. Another challenge is to confirm that existing laws and rules are properly enforced. The government will need to invest in resources to investigate and prosecute crimes involving quantum computing. Despite the challenges, there are also a number of opportunities for quantum computing to improve Indian law. Replacement of RSA(Rivest, Shamir, Adleman) algorithm <sup>20</sup>with the quantum encryption key for more enhanced security. Quantum computers establish powerful and efficient new methods to detect and prevent fraud.

Indian policymakers and businesses can be ready for the quantum computing era. Legislature can create new laws and regulations to handle the challenges posed by quantum computing, particularly with respect to privacy and security. The government must invest in resources to research and develop unique quantum-resistant encryption algorithms. The state could establish

---

<sup>19</sup> <https://www.meity.gov.in/content/information-technology-act-2000>

<sup>20</sup> <https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary/term/rsa>

a national quantum computing centre to conduct research and development and to provide guidance to businesses and government agencies. Enterprises should review and update their data security practices to protect sensitive data from quantum attacks. They were implementing quantum-resistant encryption algorithms as soon as they are available and developing new quantum-based products and services that can benefit society. People must be aware of the risks posed by quantum computing and take steps to protect their privacy. Use strong passwords and multi-factor authentication for all online accounts. The public should be careful about what information is shared online.

## **Quantum Computing and International Privacy Law: Challenges and Opportunities for International Lawmakers and Businesses**

International privacy law is a patchwork of laws and regulations that vary from country to country. However, there are a number of international treaties and conventions that set out fundamental principles for the protection of personal data. The Convention 108<sup>21</sup> for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data (Convention 108) is a treaty that has been signed by 55 countries. Convention 108 sets out a number of principles for the protection of personal data, such as the principle of purpose limitation, the principle of data quality, and the principle of security. Another essential international instrument is the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which is a regulation of the European Union that sets out a number of requirements for organizations that collect and process the personal data of EU residents. The GDPR includes provisions such as the condition to obtain consent from individuals before collecting their personal data and the requirement to implement appropriate security measures to protect personal data.

The right to be forgotten is a legal right that allows individuals to have their personal data erased from the internet. This right is enshrined in the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)<sup>22</sup>. Quantum computing poses a challenge to the right to be forgotten because it has the potential to break the current encryption key, which could allow personal data that has been deleted to be retrieved. This could make it more difficult for individuals to exercise their right to be forgotten. However, there are a number of steps that can be taken to protect the right to be forgotten in the age of quantum computing. Quantum computing impacts the right to be

---

<sup>21</sup> <https://rm.coe.int/convention-108-convention-for-the-protection-of-individuals-with-regar/16808b36f1>

<sup>22</sup> <https://gdpr.eu/what-is-gdpr/>

forgotten:<sup>23</sup> Quantum computers could be used to develop new search algorithms that are more powerful than current search algorithms. This could make it easier to find personal data that has been deleted from the internet. Quantum computers could be used to develop new archiving algorithms that are more efficient than current archiving algorithms<sup>24</sup>. This could make it more challenging to erase personal data from the internet entirely. Quantum computers could be used to develop new forensic algorithms that are more powerful than current forensic algorithms<sup>25</sup>. This could make it easier to retrieve personal data that has been deleted from the internet.

Quantum computing is likely to have a significant impact on international privacy law<sup>26</sup>. For example, the development of quantum computers could lead to a need to update international treaties and conventions to address the new challenges posed by quantum computing. In addition, the GDPR may need to be updated to address the specific risks posed by quantum computing to the personal data of EU residents.

One of the biggest challenges for international policymakers is to develop a coordinated approach to addressing the challenges posed by quantum computing and international privacy law. This is because international privacy law is a patchwork of laws and regulations that vary from country to country. Another challenge is to develop new global standards for the protection of personal data in the quantum computing era. These standards will need to be flexible enough to accommodate the different legal frameworks of other countries, but they will also need to be strong enough to protect the privacy of individuals. The challenges brought by quantum computing can be solved by putting efficacious legislation by lawmakers.

The international legislature can develop a coordinated approach to addressing the challenges posed by quantum computing and international privacy law. This could involve developing new international treaties and conventions or updating existing treaties and conventions.

Create new international norms for the protection of personal data in the quantum computing era. These standards should be flexible enough to adapt to the different legal frameworks of various

---

<sup>23</sup> <https://gdpr.eu/right-to-be-forgotten/>

<sup>24</sup> Jack Andersen, Archiving, ordering, and searching: search engines, algorithms, databases, and deep mediatization. (February 1, 2018), <https://doi.org/10.1177/016344371875465>

<sup>25</sup> Thomas D. Albright, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, CA, and April 30, 2018 115 (24) 6171-6176 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721355115>

<sup>26</sup> <https://unctad.org/page/data-protection-and-privacy-legislation-worldwide>

countries, but they should also be strong enough to protect the privacy of individuals.

Support research and development into new quantum-resistant encryption algorithms<sup>27</sup> and other technologies that can be used to protect personal data from quantum attacks.

Corporations are liable to examine and update their data security practices to protect sensitive personal data from quantum attacks. This may involve executing new encryption techniques, designing new authentication methods, and performing regular security audits, creating unique quantum-based products and services that can benefit society, such as new ways to detect and prevent fraud and the latest encryption algorithms. Be transparent with individuals about how their personal data is being collected, used, and protected. This includes informing people about the risks posed by quantum computing and the steps that the business is taking to protect their personal information from quantum attacks.

## **Quantum computation and AI-Powered Justice: A Glimpse into the Future of Judicial system**

Although the principles of fair trial, public hearing, and natural justice have stood the test of time, they may not be applicable in a society ruled by AI since the notion of fair trial is a cultural export and not universal by nature." Some of these principles to protect justice seem problematic in the perspective of our algorithmic future. No legal norm is hostile to safeguarding the perpetrator; for example, the idea of 'beyond reasonable doubt' was essential to prevent the tiniest possibility of conviction of an innocent person in the region of uncertainty of evidence. Taking up the issue of 'involuntary administration of certain scientific techniques, namely narcoanalysis, polygraph examination, and the Brain Electrical Activation Profile (BEAP) test to improve investigation efforts in criminal cases,' the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India held: "Compulsory administration of these techniques is an unjustified intrusion into an individual's mental privacy, amounting to 'cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. Invoking a compelling public interest cannot justify weakening constitutional rights such as the "right against self-incrimination." As a result, no individual shall be exposed to any of the practices in question, whether in the context of criminal inquiry or otherwise. Recently, the Hon'ble Supreme Court's constitutional bench of nine judges

---

<sup>27</sup> T. M. Fernández-Caramés, "From Pre-Quantum to Post-Quantum IoT Security: A Survey on Quantum-Resistant Cryptosystems for the Internet of Things," in *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 6457-6480, July 2020, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2019.2958788.

concluded that the 'right to privacy'<sup>28</sup> is a basic fundamental right that emerges from the right to life and freedom provided under Part III of the Constitution but subject to limitations." The Supreme Court has also established the criteria and rules for putting legal restrictions on the fundamental right to privacy. These two decisions now represent the Indian judiciary's perspective in this regard. Judges, unlike sociologists, do not have a typical judicial worldview. When dealing with social concerns, judges employ their cultural perspective, which often differs from one another. We live in a socially constructed world, and a judicial decision reflects the judicial construction of reality. If the gap between social and judicial structures of reality grows, it will inevitably impact the overall balance of justice. More research is needed to determine the relationship between the social and judicial constructions of reality.

The Supreme Court's decision in *Selvi and Ors. v. State of Karnataka*<sup>29</sup> is consistent with two prominent legal principles: 'Let a thousand criminals be acquitted, but not a single innocent be convicted' and 'right against self-incrimination'<sup>30</sup>. However, the importance of these fair trial standards is dependent on the uncertainty of facts. In disputed facts, judges rely on evidence presented to them. The legal community is unlikely to agree that the right against self-incrimination is intended to shield a guilty criminal. It is indeed for the protection of an innocent person. The notion relates to self-protection from compelled, compulsive, or forced testimony. There is always the possibility of an alternate reality construction or alternative interpretation. Why does the law require someone charged (who may or may not be guilty) to stand trial, with the added need that the prosecution be 'fair'? A reasonable response would be that trial is a tried and true method of discovering the truth. Similarly, scientific approaches such as narcoanalysis, polygraph examination, and the Brain Electrical Activation Profile (BEAP) are methods for determining the truth. There is no reason to suppose that judicial decisions are a random occurrence. Behind any judicial decision, as with every human decision, there are reasons, logic, and coherence that follow particular assumptions. Scientific procedures are founded on objective observation, are verifiable, falsifiable, and aim to discover the truth.' A criminal trial, like scientific research, begins with a hypothesis: "The accused is presumed innocent." A court

---

<sup>28</sup> Article 21 of the Indian Constitution

<sup>29</sup> *Selvi v State Of Karnataka* - Air 2010 Sc 1974, [2010] 7 Scc Bench Strength 3 [Kg Balakrishna CJ And Rv Raveendran And JM Pnchal JJ]

<sup>30</sup> Article 20 of the Indian Constitution

decision also depends on objective observation, is falsifiable, logically coherent, and is motivated by a desire to discover the truth. It is known as the science of judicial decision-making. Any approach to theorizing judicial decision-making should be multidisciplinary.

Legal principles are the building blocks of judicial decision-making, and they can be expressed through rules. As a first premise, let a thousand offenders escape, but not a single innocent person be convicted, and as a second premise, the 'right against self-incrimination'. The latter supplements the former.

In contrast to the current environment, there will be no ambiguity regarding facts in our quantum computing era and AI algorithmic future; if not, we will achieve a condition of insignificant uncertainty. With the rapid growth of science in the age of big data and quantum computer technology, algorithms can extract truth with maximum certainty from our "digital footprint."

"The quantum era." The rapid development of technology and science is inextricably linked to the advancement of society. It is vital that the science of judicial decision-making incorporate all scientific procedures for fact-finding. Applying the same right to privacy established by the Supreme Court of India against black box algorithms<sup>31</sup> (that look through its' agent (a camera or a scanner) would result in an unfavorable outcome. There is no question that one has the right to privacy of one's body against others, but if a quantum artificial intelligent machine acquires the status of a person through imaginative legal fiction, then people can legally refuse to walk through a scanner because an AI machine (assigned person) sees them naked.

An interesting practical technique worth mentioning in this context is China's endeavour to crush corrupt public officials using AI systems. China is creating a countrywide face recognition system using surveillance cameras that can identify anyone, anywhere, at any time. One Chinese technology tracks police officers' travels and provides a live status report. The system's decision is typically correct in detecting corrupt officials, but it cannot explain why." The government authorities' aversion to this arrangement is not surprising. Reasons and explanations are in high demand in algorithmic decision-making. Because reasons are easier to understand and picture than any other sophisticated model, we are accustomed to defending decisions based on their underlying reasons and explanations. As a result of the rising number of algorithms, AI,

---

<sup>31</sup> W. Nicholson Price.12 Dec, 2018.Big data and black-box medical algorithms,DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aao5333

developing quantum computers, and the urge to optimize automation, a variety of legal rights have evolved, such as the right to be forgotten and right to privacy.

However, such AI systems have no future in India presently. Surveillance (even if conducted by machines) of this nature violates the judiciary's duty to safeguard the right to privacy. This requires rapid judicial consideration of the problem of the applicability of the right to privacy to AI algorithms. Another reason against AI integration is the ambiguity regarding accuracy. Such an argument is only acceptable if it can be demonstrated that human judges are incapable of making mistakes. Human judges' decisions are undoubtedly uncertain and prone to human fallibility. Because judicial uncertainty is not probabilistic, this concept is an illusion." On the other hand, AI-assisted fact-finding can transform an ambiguous fact into the utmost degree of confidence based on probability principles. Probability can be measured effectively using an algorithm-driven computer system. Algorithms create more accurate probabilistic decisions and 'provide enhanced fairness and transparency over their human equivalent'. The human brain is a distinct type of computer that operates on an input-output system.' At the conceptual level, the Neural Network in the AI system resembles the inner workings of the human brain<sup>32</sup>. In twentieth-century civilization, humans can utilize numerous products of advanced mathematical computation that deal with serious issues, such as life. AI expert systems' make both lifesaving and life-threatening. Computational modelling enables the transfer of human intelligence insights to the production of artificial intelligence (AI) and vice versa." While discussing the issue of AI-assisted decision-making in the public sector, Marion Oswald" correctly concluded: "For centuries, English administrative law has been concerned with the fairness of state decisions." Its principles are already tech-independent. It has addressed concerns of transparency and comprehension, the relevance of 'inputs,' and the safeguarding of acceptable human discretion. Old law, viewed in a new context, can help govern our algorithmic future." Former Supreme Court of India judge Justice Chelameswar recently stated, "There exists a gap between the mind of the inventor and the mind of a lawmaker." The law does not always keep up with technological advances. "Considering the current progress of AI and law research, we can legitimately expect AI-assisted judicial making because substantive laws are essentially normative, and procedural laws are essentially rule-based; they can be easily formulated into the computational model." Tania Sourdin" correctly argued that advancements in AI technology will have a significant impact on judges and judging in the future. It is evident that judges are caught between rising

---

<sup>32</sup> <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/neuroscience/artificial-neural-network>

demand for justice and limited budgetary resources. In such a difficult circumstance, an AI decision support system can increase uniformity and efficiency in judicial practice." AI-assisted judicial decision-making has the ability to eliminate the Indian judiciary's most infamous problem, delay; effective use of AI may assure a sustainable judicial system.'

The judiciary must be furnished to meet future needs and cope with future difficulties." Many countries have Judicial Support Services in place for supporting judges. An excellent judicial decision support system enables judges to achieve uniformity of approach in decision-making. The presence of the judicial system is a collective demand of society. Technology shapes society by altering people's social reactions to it. The process of judicial decision-making must establish itself as a scientific process in order for the judiciary to survive in our algorithmic future. The incorporation of a scientific fact-finding system into the judicial fact-finding system should be the first step in that direction. Judicial practice within the judiciary is not open to scientific examination,' leaving a significant void in legal research. Any realistic use of AI's 'expert system' for judicial decision-making would require a series of scientific investigations and trials. Many barriers to the practical implementation of AI "expert systems" for judicial decision-making can be overcome by a scientific judicial approach.

Quantum computing has the potential to revolutionize the legal profession in a number of ways. It could lead to the development of new and more accurate models of law, new and more effective forms of law, and new and more powerful tools for legal research, writing, and advocacy. It could also have a more general impact on the legal profession, changing the way lawyers work and leading to the development of new legal products and services. Quantum computation could also impact judges and juries by making it possible to develop new and more accurate algorithms for predicting the outcomes of legal cases, new and more effective tools for detecting and preventing fraud, and new and innovative ways to conduct legal proceedings. Overall, quantum computing has the potential to make the legal system more fair, impartial, and efficient. However, it is important to note that quantum computing is still in its early stages of development, and it is still being determined how soon quantum computers will be powerful enough to have a significant impact on the legal system.

## **Quantum Computing: A New Era of Cybersecurity or a New Age of Cryptographic Warfare**

What is cryptography?

Cryptography is the science of protecting information from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction. Cryptography is used in a wide variety of applications. Cryptography is used to secure communication over the internet and other networks. For example, HTTPS, the protocol used to secure most websites, uses cryptography to encrypt data in transit. Cryptography is used to encrypt data stored on computers and other devices. This helps to protect data from unauthorized access, even if the device is lost or stolen. Cryptography is also used to make digital signatures, which may be used to verify the identity of the sender and to ensure that the message has not been tampered with.

Quantum computers can be used to improve cryptography in a number of ways. Quantum computers can be used to generate new and more vital dynamic quantum encryption keys far better than binary computers. Because quantum computers have the power to perform all computing and calculations much faster than classical computers. Quantum computers can develop new post-quantum cryptography algorithms that are resistant to attacks. Post-quantum cryptography algorithms are designed to be secure even against attacks from quantum computers. It improves the performance of existing cryptography algorithms. For example, quantum computers can be used to accelerate the process of encrypting and decrypting data.

Researchers are using quantum computers to develop new post-quantum cryptography algorithms. Scientists at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) are using quantum computers to test the performance of post-quantum cryptography algorithms that have been submitted to the NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Process. Companies such as IBM and Google are developing quantum-safe encryption algorithms that are designed to be resistant to attacks from quantum computers. IBM has developed a quantum-safe encryption algorithm called Quantum Key Distribution (QKD). QKD uses the fundamentals of quantum mechanics to generate shared encryption keys that are secure even against attacks from quantum computers. There are a number of challenges that need to be addressed before quantum computing can be used for cryptography on a large scale. One challenge is that quantum computers still need to be fully developed. Another challenge is that quantum computers are very costly to build and operate. Despite the challenges, there is significant progress being made in the field of quantum

cryptography. Researchers are developing unique post-quantum cryptography algorithms and enhancing the performance of existing cryptography algorithms utilizing quantum computers.

Quantum computing threatens existing cryptography; a quantum computer could crack a 2048-bit RSA key in a few minutes, while a classical computer would take billions of years. This would allow an attacker to decrypt any communication or data that is encrypted with RSA. A successful attack on existing cryptography would have severe consequences for individuals and organizations around the world. It would allow attackers to: (i) Decrypt sensitive communications, such as email and chat messages and phone calls. (ii) Decrypt stored data, such as financial records, medical records, and government secrets. (iii) Create fake digital signatures, which could be used to impersonate individuals or organizations. (iii) Disrupt infrastructure, such as banking systems and power grids.

Things that can be done to mitigate the threat of quantum computing to cryptography. Post-quantum cryptography algorithms are designed to be resistant to attacks from quantum computers. Researchers are currently developing a number of different post-quantum cryptography algorithms, and some of these algorithms are already being standardized. Once post-quantum cryptography algorithms are normalized, existing systems will need to be upgraded to use these algorithms. This may involve replacing encryption keys and upgrading software. QKD is a kind of cryptography that manipulates the principles of quantum mechanics to generate shared encryption keys that are secure even against attacks from quantum computers. QKD systems are already available, but they are still relatively expensive and complex to adapt or deploy. In addition to the above, here are some other things that can be done to reduce the threat of quantum computing to cryptography. Educating the public and private sectors about quantum computing and the danger it poses to cryptography. This will allow to raise awareness of the issue and encourage organizations to take measures to protect themselves. Forming perfect laws and statutes to address the challenges and opportunities posed by quantum computing. This includes developing new legislation to govern the development and use of quantum computers. Investing in research and development to continue to establish untried and improved post-quantum cryptography algorithms. This will help to confirm that we remain one step away from cybercriminals and hackers. By taking these steps, cryptography remains a powerful mechanism for protecting our communications and data, even in the era of quantum computing.

## **Quantum computing on Financial System, Banking, Cryptocurrency, Blockchain**

Quantum computing is even in its premature stages of growth, but it has the potential to revolutionize the banking industry. In the future, quantum computers could be used to improve risk management, enhance fraud detection, design more accurate financial forecasting models, and create new monetary products and services. However, it is essential to note that quantum computing also poses some challenges for banks, such as the threat to existing cryptography algorithms. Banks need to start preparing for the future of quantum computing by investing in research and working with quantum computing companies to develop prototypes and pilot projects. Here are a few case studies of how banks are already operating quantum computing: -

**JPMorgan Chase:** In 2019, JPMorgan Chase partnered with IBM to develop a quantum computing algorithm for portfolio optimization. The algorithm was able to optimize a portfolio of 100 assets in just 10 seconds, which would have taken a classical computer several days to do.

**HSBC:** HSBC is working with Cambridge Quantum Computing to develop a quantum computing algorithm for fraud detection. The algorithm is able to identify fraudulent transactions more accurately than traditional fraud detection algorithms.

**Credit Suisse:** Credit Suisse is working with D-Wave Systems to develop a quantum computing algorithm for risk management. The algorithm is able to calculate the risk of a portfolio of loans more accurately than traditional risk management models.

**US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC):** The SEC has established a working group to study the implications of quantum computing for the financial markets. The working group is responsible for developing recommendations on how to mitigate the risks posed by quantum computing and how to ensure that the financial markets remain fair and orderly.

Quantum computing for banking, the imaginable benefits of quantum computing for banking. Quantum computers can be utilized to create unique and more accurate models, which could assist banks in better identifying and managing their various risks. This could lead to a reduction in losses and an improvement in overall financial performance. Quantum computers could be able to create new and more advanced fraud detection algorithms. This could help banks to identify

and prevent fraud more effectively, which could save them millions of dollars each year. Quantum computers could be used to develop new and more accurate financial forecasting models. This could support banks to make better decisions about lending, investing, and other financial activities. Quantum computing could enable the development of new and innovative financial products and services. For example, quantum computers could be used to develop new types of algorithmic trading systems or to create new financial instruments that are more complex and sophisticated than those that are currently available. Despite the many potential benefits of quantum computing for banking, there are also some challenges that need to be addressed. One challenge is that quantum computers still need to be commercialized, and it is yet to be clear when they will be powerful enough to be used for practical applications. Another challenge is that quantum computers could pose a threat to existing cryptography algorithms. This is because quantum computers could be used to break these algorithms and decrypt encrypted data. This could have serious implications for the security of financial transactions and customer information.

Bank regulators are concerned that the financial system may not be prepared for the quantum computing era. Many banks still rely on outdated encryption algorithms that are vulnerable to quantum attacks. In addition, many banks need more expertise or resources to develop and implement new quantum-resistant encryption algorithms. Bank regulators are taking a number of steps to prepare for the quantum computing era. For instance, the Bank for International Settlements<sup>33</sup> (BIS) has established a Quantum Financial Forum to bring together central banks, commercial banks, and technology companies to discuss the opportunities and challenges put forth by quantum computing. The BIS has also published a number of reports on the implications of quantum computing for the financial system. In addition, a number of central banks are conducting their own research on quantum computing. The US Federal Reserve Board has established a Quantum Computing Research Center to study the potential effect of quantum computing on the financial system and to develop new quantum-resistant encryption algorithms.

Bank regulators are also working to develop new legislation and regulations to address the risks posed by quantum computing. The US Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) has proposed new rules that would require financial institutions to implement quantum-resistant

---

<sup>33</sup> <https://www.bis.org/press/p230605.htm>

encryption algorithms. The following are some specific illustrations of how quantum computing could impact bank regulation:

**New capital requirements:** Bank regulators could impose new capital requirements on banks to reflect the increased risks posed by quantum computing. Financial institution banks could be required to hold more capital to cover the potential losses from a quantum-based attack.

**New stress testing requirements:** Bank regulators could impose new stress testing requirements on banks to assess their resilience to quantum-based attacks. Financial Institutions could be required to conduct stress tests to see how their systems would perform under a scenario where an attacker was able to break their encryption algorithms.

**New reporting requirements:** Bank regulators could impose new reporting requirements on banks to collect data on their exposure to quantum-based risks. This data could be used to inform regulatory policy and to identify banks that are most at risk from quantum attacks.

Quantum computing could have a significant impact on cryptocurrencies, both positively and negatively. Quantum computing could be used to improve the security of cryptocurrencies in multiple ways. Such as developing new encryption algorithms, it is Improving the efficiency of mining, and new cryptographic primitives. Potential risks of quantum computing for cryptocurrencies are breaking existing encryption algorithms, centralizing mining, and developing new quantum-based attacks. The impact of quantum computing on cryptocurrencies is likely to be complex and multifaceted. Quantum computing is capable of enhancing the security and efficiency of cryptocurrencies, but it could also pose new perils. It is vital to continue to research on this topic. The cryptocurrency community can prepare for the future of quantum computing by developing quantum-resistant protocols to protect against new quantum-based attacks.

Blockchain is a decentralized ledger that records transactions across a network of computers. It is the underlying technology behind cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin<sup>34</sup> and Ethereum<sup>35</sup>. Quantum computers are significantly more potent than existing computers, and they could be used to break

---

<sup>34</sup> <https://bitcoin.org/en/>

<sup>35</sup> <https://ethereum.org/en/>

the encryption to secure blockchains. This could lead to a number of security risks, such as the theft of cryptocurrencies and the manipulation of blockchain data. However, quantum computing can also be used to improve the security and efficiency of blockchains. Quantum computers are utilized to create unique quantum encryption algorithms that are resistant to quantum attacks. Quantum computers could also be used to develop fresh blockchain protocols that are more efficient and scalable. This would allow blockchains to handle more transactions and users. Quantum computers could be used to audit blockchain networks for security vulnerabilities and fraud. This would enhance the transparency and accountability of blockchains. Society and people can benefit from quantum-based blockchain financial products and services.

Overall, quantum computing has the potential to have a significant impact on blockchain technology. It is essential to start thinking about the potential benefits and risks of quantum computing now so that we can demolish the threat posed by putting legislation. Existing blockchain networks need to be upgraded to support quantum-resistant encryption algorithms.

### **Quantum Computing: Rethinking IP Law in the Quantum Age**

Intellectual property (IP) law is the body of law that protects the creations of the human mind, such as inventions, works of literature and art, and designs. IP law plays an essential role in promoting innovation and creativity. Quantum computing is expected to have a significant impact on IP law in a number of ways. For example, quantum computers could be used to develop new products and services that are not possible with current computing technology. This could lead to new IP rights being created and to new challenges in enforcing existing IP rights.

Quantum computing could lead to the development of new kinds of inventions and works of authorship that are not currently protected by IP law. New types of software algorithms, new forms of digital content, and new product designs that are created using quantum computers. IP rights holders will need to consider how to protect these new creations in the age of quantum computing. Quantum computers could also make it more difficult to enforce existing IP rights. Quantum computers easily break the security encryption algorithms that are presently used to protect digital content. This could make it easier for counterfeiters and pirates to infringe on IP rights.

Here is a more detailed look at the potential impact of quantum computing on specific areas of IP law:

**Patent law:** Quantum computing could lead to a surge in patent applications in the field of quantum computing. However, patent examiners may need help in examining these applications due to the complexity of quantum technology.

**Copyright law:** Quantum computers could be used to create new forms of copyrighted works, such as works of music and art that are generated by quantum algorithms. However, it needs to be clarified whether copyright law will protect these new forms of work.

**Trademark law:** Quantum computers could be used to develop new trademarks that are based on quantum algorithms or that use quantum physics principles. However, trademark examiners may need help in examining trademark applications for quantum trademarks due to the complexity of quantum technology.

IP rights holders and lawmakers can take a number of steps to prepare for the future of quantum computing. IP rights holders should start using new encryption algorithms that are resistant to attacks from unauthorized quantum computers. This will help to protect digital content and trade secrets from unauthorized access. Policymakers should consider updating IP laws to address the challenges posed by quantum computing. For example, policymakers could clarify the law on patent eligibility for software inventions that are developed using quantum computers.

IP lawyers need to be educated about quantum computing and its potential impact on IP law. This will help advocates advise their clients on how to protect their IP in the age of quantum computing.

In addition to the topics discussed above, here are some other ways in which quantum computing could impact IP law. Quantum watermarking is a new technology that could be used to protect digital content from unauthorized copying. Quantum watermarks are embedded in digital content at the quantum level and are difficult to remove without damaging the content. Quantum fingerprinting is a new technology that could be used to identify and track counterfeit products. Quantum fingerprints are unique identifiers that are embedded in products at the quantum level.

Quantum contracts are a new type of contract that could be used to secure transactions involving digital assets. Quantum contracts are executed using quantum cryptography and are resistant to tampering. These are just a few models of the ways in which quantum computing could impact IP law in the future. As quantum computing technology continues to foster or develop, we can expect to see even more innovative and disruptive applications of quantum computing in the field of IP law.

## **Quantum Computing and Antitrust Law: A Call to Action for Policymakers and Businesses**

Quantum computing could have an impact on antitrust law by making it easier to detect and penalize anti-competitive behaviour. Quantum computers could be used to examine immense quantities of market data to identify trends and patterns that traditional computers would find difficult or impossible to figure out. This could make it more feasible for antitrust authorities to determine current market scenarios and other anti-competitive practices<sup>36</sup>. Quantum computing could be utilized to create new antitrust enforcement tools. Quantum computers could be used to develop new algorithms for analyzing market position. This could help antitrust authorities estimate the impact of mergers and acquisitions on competition more accurately. Quantum computing may have an effect on antitrust theory in addition to antitrust enforcement. For instance, quantum computing could be utilized to create new market rivalry models. These models could aid antitrust regulators in better understanding how markets function and how anti-competitive activity harms consumers.

Overall, quantum computing is a novel and quickly evolving technology that has the potential to alter antitrust law significantly. It is critical that antitrust authorities and practitioners consider how quantum computing might be used to better antitrust enforcement and foster competition. While quantum computing is still in its infancy, it has the potential to revolutionize antitrust enforcement. Antitrust agencies can better protect consumers and foster competition by creating new tools and procedures that exploit the capabilities of quantum computing. Quantum computing is a rapidly evolving technology that has the potential to transform numerous

---

<sup>36</sup> Atik, Jeffery and Nowag, Julian, Quantum Antitrust (August 21, 2022). Loyola Law School, Los Angeles Legal Studies Research Paper No. 2022-09, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4211999> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4211999>

industries, including the legal sector. It may also have a substantial impact on antitrust law, as it may alter how antitrust matters are investigated and prosecuted.

Antitrust law is the body of law that prohibits anti-competitive practices, such as price fixing, cartels, and monopolies. Quantum technology could have a significant impact on antitrust law in a number of ways. For example, quantum computers could be used to develop new products and services that are not possible with current computing technology. This could lead to new markets emerging and new competitors entering the market. However, it could also lead to increased concentration in some markets, as companies that develop quantum technology could gain a significant competitive advantage. Some of the potential ways in which quantum technology could impact antitrust law. Quantum technology could lead to the development of new markets for quantum products and services. For example, quantum computers could be used to develop new medicine, design new materials, and create new financial products. This could lead to increased competition and innovation in these markets.

Quantum technology could also lead to new competitors entering the market. For example, small startups could develop quantum-based products and services that are more innovative and efficient than the products and services offered by established companies. This could lead to increased competition and lower prices for consumers. However, quantum technology could also lead to increased concentration in some markets. Business monopoly: if a few companies develop quantum technology before others, they could gain a significant competitive advantage. This could lead to these companies dominating the market and raising prices for consumers. Antitrust authorities may face challenges in determining markets in the age of quantum technology. It may not be easy to define the need for quantum computing services, as quantum computers could be used to provide a wide range of services. This could make it difficult to assess whether a company has a dominant position in a particular market. Antitrust authorities may also face challenges in detecting and prosecuting anti-competitive agreements in the age of quantum technology. Quantum computers have the power to generate new encryption algorithms that are difficult to break. This could make it easier for companies to collude and engage in anti-competitive practices without being detected. Antitrust authorities may also face challenges in reviewing mergers and acquisitions in the age of quantum technology. It may be difficult to assess the probable impact of a merger between two organizations that are developing quantum technology. This is because

the future of quantum technology is uncertain, and the potential benefits of the union may outweigh the potential anti-competitive harms.

Antitrust authorities can take a number of steps to address the challenges posed by quantum technology, including antitrust management, which may need to update antitrust laws to make them more effective in the age of quantum technology. Antitrust authorities could consider clarifying the law on market definition and anti-competitive agreements<sup>37</sup>. They could also consider developing new tools for merger review. Antitrust authorities need to invest in expertise in quantum technology. This will help them to understand the possible impact of quantum technology on competition and to develop effective enforcement strategies.

Antitrust authorities should cooperate with each other to address the challenges posed by quantum technology. This could involve sharing information, developing joint enforcement strategies, and coordinating their efforts with other regulatory bodies.

#### Case study: The US Department of Justice's Antitrust Division

The US Department of Justice's Antitrust Division (DOJ) is aware of the potential antitrust challenges posed by quantum technology. In 2021, the DOJ published a report on quantum computing and competition that identified a number of potential challenges, including market definition, anti-competitive agreements, and merger review. The DOJ has also taken steps to address these challenges. The DOJ has created a Quantum Computing Working Group to coordinate its efforts on quantum computing and competition. The DOJ is also working with other antitrust authorities around the world to share information and develop joint enforcement strategies. The DOJ's efforts to prepare for the future of quantum technology are an important example of how antitrust authorities can address the challenges posed by this new technology.

## Conclusion

Quantum computing can transform the legal system by bringing new methods for detecting and prosecuting crime, resolving disputes more swiftly, and enacting more just and equitable legislation. It can also be used to search large databases of legal documents, analyze complex financial transactions, develop new financial products and services, improve fraud detection and

---

<sup>37</sup> NUJS L. Rev. 225 (2014) Penalising Anti-Competitive Agreements and Abuse of Dominance

prevention, streamline back-office operations, develop new post-quantum cryptography algorithms, upgrade existing systems to use post-quantum cryptography, protect intellectual property rights, detect and prevent infringement, and create new algorithms for analyzing big datasets of market information.

In order to survive in the future world, the judiciary needs to establish itself as a scientific process and integrate scientific fact-finding systems into judicial fact-finding. This would create advanced methods in the field of legal research and pave the way for the practical application of AI "expert systems" for judicial decision-making.

Legislatures, government, other regulators and legal professionals need to work together to create a new legal framework that tackles the particular challenges and opportunities that quantum technology brings.

## Bibliography

Feng, Y.; and Ying, M. 2020. Quantum Hoare logic with classical variables. arXiv:2008.06812 [quant-ph] URL

<http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.06812>. ArXiv: 2008.06812. Greenbaum, D. 2015. Introduction to Quantum Gate Set Tomography. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02921v1> URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02921v1>.

Hammond, P. J. 1988. Consequentialist foundations for expected utility. *Theory and Decision* 25(1): 25–78. ISSN 1573-7187. doi:10.1007/BF00129168. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00129168>.

Ji, Z.; Natarajan, A.; Vidick, T.; Wright, J.; and Yuen, H. 2020. MIP\*=RE. arXiv:2001.04383 [quant-ph] URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.04383>. ArXiv: 2001.04383.

Kearns, M.; Neel, S.; Roth, A.; and Wu, Z. S. 2019. An Empirical Study of Rich Subgroup Fairness for Machine Learning. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, FAT\* '19*, 100–109. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 978-1-4503-6125-5. doi:10.1145/3287560.3287592. URL <http://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287592>.

Aaronson, S.; and Rothblum, G. N. 2019. Gentle measurement of quantum states and differential privacy. In *Proceedings of the 51st Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2019*, 322–333. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 978-

1-4503-6705-9. doi:10.1145/3313276.3316378. URL <http://doi.org/10.1145/3313276.3316378>.

Arrhenius, G. 2000. An Impossibility Theorem for Welfarist Axiologies. *Economics & Philosophy* 16(2): 247–266. ISSN 1474-0028, 0266- 2671. doi:10.1017/S0266267100000249. URL <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/economics-and-philosophy/article/abs/an-impossibility-theorem-for-welfarist-axiologies/94A6C341A39CFA3A314F2B8D8500779E>.

Arrow, K. J. 1950. A Difficulty in the Concept of Social Welfare. *Journal of Political Economy* 58(4):

328–346. ISSN 0022-3808. doi:10.1086/256963. URL <http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/256963>.

Publisher: The University of Chicago Press.

A`imeur, E.; Brassard, G.; and Gambs, S. 2006. Machine Learning in a Quantum World. In Lamontagne, L.; and Marchand, M., eds., *Advances in Artificial Intelligence, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 431–442. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. ISBN 978-3-540-34630-2. doi:10.1007/11766247\_37.

Parsons, S.; and Wooldridge, M. 2002. Game Theory and Decision Theory in Multi-Agent Systems. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* 5(3): 243–254. ISSN 1573-7454. doi:10.1023/A:1015575522401. URL <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015575522401>.

Preskill, J. 1997. Fault-tolerant quantum computation. arXiv:quant-ph/9712048 URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9712048>. ArXiv: quantph/ 9712048.

Edlyn, T. The NIST Announcement on Quantum-Resistant Cryptography Standards is Out. Act Now! Cryptomathic.

6 July 2022. Available online: <https://www.cryptomathic.com/news-events/blog/the-nist-announcement-on-quantumresistant-cryptography-standards-is-out.-act-now>

Mathew, S. Encryption Meant to Protect Against Quantum Hackers is Easily Cracked. *New Scientist*. 8 March 2022. Available online: <https://www.newscientist.com/article/2310369-encryption-meant-to-protect-against-quantum-hackers-is-easily-cracked/>

Castrycck, W.; Thomas., D. An efficient key recovery attack on SIDH (preliminary version). *Cryptol. Eprint Arch.* 2022. Available online: <https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/975>

Laura, D. Post-Quantum Crypto Cracked in an Hour with One Core of an Ancient Xeon. *The Register*. 3 August 2022. Available

online: [https://www.theregister.com/2022/08/03/nist\\_quantum\\_resistant\\_crypto\\_cracked/](https://www.theregister.com/2022/08/03/nist_quantum_resistant_crypto_cracked/)

Xue,W.;Wang, C.;Wang, J. Research on Cryptography as a Service Technique Based on Commercial Cryptography. In Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Electronic Technology, Communication and Information (ICETCI), Changchun,China.

Scott, F., III. A Buyer's Guide to Quantum as a Service: Qubits for Hire. Available online:<https://www.zdnet.com/article/abuyers-guide-to-quantum-as-a-service-qubits-for-hire/>

Sharma, S.K.; Khaliq, M. The role of quantum computing in software forensics and digital evidence: Issues and challenges. Limit.

Future Appl. Quantum Cryptography.

Mauritz Kop, The Right to Process Data for Machine Learning Purposes in the EU (June 22, 2020). HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, HARVARD JOURNAL OF LAW & TECHNOLOGY, VOLUME 34 DIGEST SPRING 2021, pp. 1-23, <https://jolt.law.harvard.edu/digest/the-right-to-process-data-for-machine-learning-purposes-in-the-eu>.

What are the Q# programming language and Quantum Development Kit (QDK)?, (Microsoft, 10 November 2021). Available at <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/quantum/overview-what-is-qsharp-and-qdk#the-quantum-programming-language-q>

Powers of tensors and fast matrix multiplication. arXiv:1401.7714v1

Mateo Aboy, Timo Minssen and Mauritz Kop, 'Mapping the Patent Landscape for Quantum Technologies: Patenting Trends, Innovation & Policy Implications', Volume 53, 2022, International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law (IIC). Available at <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40319-022-01209-3>.

American National Standards Institute (ed.). (1986). American National Standard for Information Systems Coded Character Sets 7-Bit American Standard Code for Information Interchange (7-BitASCII) ANSI X3.4-1986. (ANSI INCITS 4-1986 (R2002)).

Atik, Jeffery and Nowag, Julian, Quantum Antitrust (August 21, 2022). Loyola Law School, Los Angeles Legal Studies Research Paper No. 2022-09, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4211999> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4211999>

Hazledine, T. (2006). Price discrimination in Cournot–Nash oligopoly. Economics Letters, 93 (3), 413-420.

Regulation 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 of April 2016 on the Protection of Natural Persons with Regard to the Processing of Personal Data and on the Free Movement of Such Data, and Repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation), art. 33, 2016 O.J. (L 119/1).

Mauritz Kop et al., Intellectual Property in Quantum Computing and Market Power: A Theoretical Discussion and Empirical Analysis, 17 J. INTELL. PROP. L. & PRAC. 613, 622 (2022)

Almudena Arcelus, Mihran Yenikomshiam, and Noemi Nocera, Mitigating Antitrust Concerns When Competitors Share Data Using Blockchain Technology, JOLT DIGEST (March 7, 2021), <https://jolt.law.harvard.edu/digest/mitigating-antitrust-concerns-when-competitors-share-data-using-blockchain-technology>.

Makan Delrahim, Changes: Readyng the Antitrust Division for Technological Evolution in the Financial Sector and Beyond (Aug. 20, 2020) in DOJ JUSTICE NEWS, <https://www.justice.gov/opa/speech/assistant-attorney-general-makan-delrahim-delivers-remarks-rock-center-corporate>.

Thibault Schrepel, Is Blockchain the Death of Antitrust Law? The Blockchain Antitrust Paradox (June 11, 2018). GEORGETOWN LAW TECHNOLOGY REVIEW, 3 Geo. L. Tech. Rev. 281 (2019), Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3193576> or <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3193576>.

Dept. of Justice, Deputy Attorney General Lisa O. Monaco Announces National Cryptocurrency Enforcement Team, PRESS RELEASE (Oct. 6, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/deputy-attorney-general-lisa-o-monaco-announces-national-cryptocurrency-enforcement-team>.

Dept. of Justice, Justice Department Joins Computational Antitrust Project at Stanford Law School, PRESS RELEASE (Jan. 19, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-joins-computational-antitrust-project-stanford-law-school>.

OECD Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs Competition Committee, Blockchain Technology and Competition Policy – Issues paper by the Secretariat (June 8, 2018), [https://one.oecd.org/document/DAF/COMP/WD\(2018\)47/en/pdf](https://one.oecd.org/document/DAF/COMP/WD(2018)47/en/pdf).

Big Data: A Tool for Inclusion or Exclusion? Report (Jan. 2016), FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION <https://www.ftc.gov/reports/big-data-tool-inclusion-or-exclusion-understanding-issues-ftc-report>.

FTC, FTC Hearing #7: The Competition and Consumer Protection Issues of Algorithms, Artificial Intelligence, and Predictive Analytics (Nov. 13–14, 2018), <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/events-calendar/ftc-hearing-7-competition-consumer-protection-21st-century>.

Elisa Jillson, Aiming for truth, fairness, and equity in your company's use of AI, FTC Advisory (April 19, 2021), <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/blogs/business-blog/2021/04/aiming-truth-fairness-equity-your-companys-use-ai>.

Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Ensuring Cyber-security in Remote Workforce: Legal Implications and International Best Practices. *International Journal of Law and Policy*, 1(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijlp.43> retrieved

from <https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijlp/article/view/43>

Gisin, N., Ribordy, G., Tittel, W., & Zbinden, H. (2002). Quantum cryptography. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 74(1), 145-195. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.145>

Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Sustainability. *International Journal of Cyber Law*, 1(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijcl.42> retrieved

from <https://irshadjournals.com/index.php/ijcl/article/view/42>

Schneier, B. (2015). *Data and Goliath: The hidden battles to collect your data and control your world*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Van Tilborg, H. C., & Jajodia, S. (Eds.). (2011). *Encyclopedia of cryptography and security*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Wallden, P., & Kashefi, E. (2020). Cybersecurity and privacy in quantum communication. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 2(11), 585-596. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-020-00243-4>

Zohar, A. (2015). Bitcoin: Under the hood. *Communications of the ACM*, 58(9), 104-113. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2701411>

Allah Rakha, N. (2023). Navigating the Legal Landscape: Corporate Governance and Anti-Corruption Compliance in the Digital Age. *International Journal of Management and Finance*, 1(3). <https://doi.org/10.59022/ijmf.39> Retrieved

Zukowski, M., Zeilinger, A., Horne, M. A., & Ekert, A. K. (1993). "Event-ready-detectors" Bell experiment via entanglement swapping. *Physical Review Letters*, 71(26), 4287-4290. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.4287>